IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 28TH MAY 2007 / 7TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 18853 of 2003(T)

PETITIONER:

JAMEELA BEEVI. M., NURSE GRADE-I,
GOVT. AYURVEDA HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.T.A.SHAJI

RESPONDENTS:

1. **THE DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER,**
   INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINES,
   ERNAKULAM.

2. **THE DIRECTOR, INDIAN SYSTEM OF**
   MEDICINES, TRIVANDRUM.

3. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE**
   SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HEALTH AND
   FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 28/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON IA. NO.4853/2003 IN WP(C) NO.18853/2003

DISMISSED

28.05.2007 **SD/- K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE ORDER NO.E3-2171/2001 DT.20.4.01 OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE ORDER NO.E2.12646/96 DT.12.3.96 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT DT. NIL.

EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE APPEAL DT.31.5.2001 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DT. 26.8.98 IN OP .NO.5805/97 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.

EXT.P6:- COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DT.12.3.03 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P7:- COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DT.24.5.03 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P8:- COPY OF THE G.O.(P) NO.365/05/(150)/FIN. DT.6.8.05 OF THE GOVERNMENT.

EXT.P9:- COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DT. 18.11.05 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P10:- COPY OF THE ORDER NO.E3-2171/01 DT.11.8.06 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

tss

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 18853 OF 2003-T

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, who is a Nurse Grade I working under the respondents, has approached this Court, challenging Exts.P1, P6 and P7. As per those orders, the salary drawn by the petitioner was re-fixed and she was not allowed to exercise re-option. During the pendency of the writ petition, by Ext.P10 proceedings, her re-option was accepted and her salary was re-fixed in accordance with the pay revision order. The said order substantially redresses the grievance of the petitioner. Therefore, the writ petition is closed. If there is any subsisting grievance, the closure of this writ petition will not affect the rights of the petitioner to represent, to redress the same.

28th May, 2007. K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Nm/

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C)NO.18853/2003-T

JUDGMENT

28.05.2007